UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,083	01/14/2002	Paul Harry Abbott	GB920010052US1	6326
29683 7590 09/26/2007 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/050,083	ABBOTT ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffery Williams	2137
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s). 1,2,5,7,8,11 and 13-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,8,11,13-23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ison Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureaussee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/050,083 Page 2.

Art Unit: 2137

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13 – 23 are pending.
4	All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.
5	
6	The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can
7	be found in a prior Office action.
8	
9	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103
10 11	Claims <i>1,2,5,7,8,11, 13-23</i> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
12	unpatentable over Shear et al. (Shear), "Systems and Methods Using
13	Cryptography to Protect Secure Computing Environments", U.S. Patent 6,157,721
14	in view of Bodrov, "System and Method of Verifying The Authenticity of
15	Dynamically Connectable Executable Images", U.S. Patent 6,802,006.
16	
17	Regarding claim 1, Shear discloses:
18	a primary library file, the primary library file having a digital signature (Shear,
19	1:26-28; 2:46-3:3; 4:21-67; Herein, Shear discloses system protection by verifying the
20	digital signatures of basic executables – thus at least one executable module or
21	"primary library file" – of a software installation, for example a virtual machine
<sup>′</sup> 22	installation).

a loader program that obtains a digital signature key and further loads the primary library file (Shear, fig. 1:61; 5:1-5; 6:6-11; fig. 3 – Herein, Shear discloses a device comprising a programmed execution environment that loads code - thus a "loader" program – for loading and executing software instructions, also see "load module" - "an executable unit of code loaded into memory by the loader" – Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., pg. 287); wherein, if a public key cannot be obtained via a virtual machine provider, the digital signature key is a hidden public key internal to the loader program and, if a public key can be obtained via the virtual machine provider, the digital signature key is the public key obtained via the virtual machine provider (Shear, 13:65-14:5; 5:1-5; Herein, Shear discloses both obtaining a hidden public key and obtaining a certificate from the software provider).

Shear discloses that an execution environment may execute a plurality of software modules, having digital signatures, of which are verified for authenticity by the programmed execution environment (Shear, 4:1-60), and which may interact with other installed software modules (Shear,3:24-35). Shear however does not explicitly disclose that one installed software module "interacts" other installed software modules via a first module "referencing" second modules from the plurality of modules.

Bodrov discloses that software modules, such as digitally signed DLL's defining a software installation, interact via one module referencing another module to be loaded and verified by the loader program (Bodrov, fig. 2; 3:12-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the method of Bodrov for interaction between software modules of a software installation

Application/Control Number: 10/050,083

Art Unit: 2137

Page 4

1 within the system of Shear. This would have been obvious, because one of ordinary

skill in the art would have been motivated to utilize a practical way for software modules

to interact.

Bodrov, fig. 1).

wherein the loader program is verifes and selectively loads the primary library file by comparing the obtained digital signature key with the digital signature of the primary library file, the primary library file subsequently verifying and selectively loading the plurality of secondary files by calling the loader program to compare the obtained digital signature key with the digital signature of each of the plurality of secondary files, wherein the computer software is a virtual machine installation (Shear, fig. 3; 6:5-15;

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables a plurality of software modules (i.e. DLL files), wherein at least one module references a plurality of secondary modules, and wherein all modules are loaded and verified (See above rejection). However, the combination does not disclose that a referenced secondary module may reference another, or 3<sup>rd</sup>, module. However, it was well known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a referenced module (i.e. DLL), may reference another module (i.e. DLL).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables:

at least one administrator-configurable file (Shear, fig. 1:52,64; 3:32-35; 4:38,39

- the combination disclose files that are configured by administrators).

Art Unit: 2137

1	the digital signature key comprising a number of keys including a private key
2	provided by an administrator (Shear, fig. 7, 8)
3	wherein the loader program verifies the digital signature of the at least one
4	administrator-configurable file using the private key (see above rejections – all files are
5	verified).
6	
7	Regarding claim 14, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables:
8	the virtual machine provider is accessed through an internet site to provide the
9	public key (Shear, fig. 1; Abstract; 2:33-40; 3:10-15, 21-35; 5:3-5). The combination
10	teaches obtaining certificate-bearing code from the virtual machine provider via the
11	Internet. Therefore the combination enables the provision of the public key by such
12	means (for definition of a certificate, see Microsoft Computer Dictionary, pg. 93).
13	
14	Regarding claim 16, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables:
15	wherein the primary library file is a virtual machine dynamic link library file
16	(Shear, 2:54-3:3; Bodrov, fig. 1)
17	
18	Regarding claim 18, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables:
19	wherein the loader program is a third-party application that initiates the virtual
20	machine installation (Shear, fig. 1:61; fig. 3; Bodrov, fig. 1:95).
21	
22	Regarding claim 19, the combination of Shear and Bodrov enables:

Art Unit: 2137

1	wherein the loader program is a virtual machine launcher that initiates the virtual		
2	machine installation (Shear, 2:54-3:3; 4:36-40; Bodrov, fig. 1).		
3			
4	Regarding claims 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, and 21, they are method claims		
5	corresponding to the above rejected claims and contain essentially similar limitations,		
6	and they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons. Furthermore, the combination		
7	enables "launching a loader program" (Shear, fig. 3; Bodrov, fig. 1).		
8			
9	Regarding claims 22 and 23, they are system claims corresponding to the above		
10	rejected claims and contain essentially similar limitations, and they are rejected, at least,		
11	for the same reasons.		
12	·		
13	Rsponse to Arguments		
14			
15	Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not		
16	persuasive.		
17			
18	Applicant argues or asserts primarily that:		
19			
20	(i) Shear, in Figure 3, does not show a loader program that verifies and selectively		
21	loads the primary library file nor the primary library file subsequently verifying and		
22	selectively loading a plurality of files		

Art Unit: 2137

Bodrov does not disclose or suggest "the loader program verifies and selectively loads the primary library file" or "the primary library file subsequently verifying and selectively loading the plurality of secondary files." (Remarks, pg. 10, par. 1; pg. 11, par. 1)

In response, the examiner respectfully notes that prior art demonstrates a loader program that verifies and selectively loads. Shear discloses the loading and execution of a load module (for example, see fig. 3 – the examiner asserts that the man inside the computer who verifies and loads the load module is symbolic. As evidenced by the Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, a "loader program" is what loads the load module). Furthermore, Bodrov is completely in harmony with Shear. Bodrov illustrates, without symbolism, the term "program loader" (for example, see fig. 2).

Additionally, the examiner points out that the *combination* of Shear and Bodrov shows a "primary library file subsequently verifying and selectively loading a plurality of files [by calling the loader program to..." - as per claim recitation] (Shear, fig. 3; 6:5-15; Bodrov, fig. 1). In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,083 Page 8

Art Unit: 2137

1 (ii) Claims 14 and 15 recite "the virtual machine provider is accessed through an internet site to provide the public key." Shear, in the abstract, Figure 1, or elsewhere, does not disclose this limitation. (Remarks, pg. 12, par. 5)

In response, the examiner respectfully notes the claims 14 and 15 essentially comprise descriptive language. A recitation that does not require performing an access of a key, but is instead a characterization of a non required condition "if a public key is available from an internet site" or "if a public key can be obtained via the virtual…".

However, it is respectfully noted that, were such descriptive language to comprise a claim limitation, the prior art is shown to enable the "Virtual machine provider" to be accessed to provide a public key via an internet.

13 Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See Notice of References Cited.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,083

Art Unit: 2137

Page 9

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,083

Art Unit: 2137

1 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 10

- 2 Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
- 3 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
- 4 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- 5 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
- 6 you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
- 7 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8

9

10 J. Williams

11 AU: 2137

12

EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER